

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,830		03/03/2004	Kazuhiro Andoh	112388.01	2514	
25944	7590	03/25/2005		EXAMINER		
OLIFF &	& BERRI	DGE, PLC	ROJAS, BERNARD			
P.O. BOX 19928 ALEXANDRIA, VA 22320				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,				2832	2832	
				DATE MAILED: 03/25/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/790,830	ANDOH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Bernard Rojas	2832				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 No.	ovember 2004.					
,_	☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☑ This action is non-final.					
• —-	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)  Claim(s) 1 and 4-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5)  Claim(s) 14 and 15 is/are allowed.  6)  Claim(s) 1 and 4-13 is/are rejected.  7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of: <ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> </ol> </li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Do 5)  Notice of Informal P					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claim 13 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Parent Claim 1 already states that the terminal holder provides longitudinal walls protruding in the axial direction on both sides of the slots the longitudinal walls restricting the plate-like terminal from moving in its thickness direction.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order

Art Unit: 2832

for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 1, 4, 6, 8, 12 and 13 are Okada et al. [US 5,423,117] in further view of Kogure et al. [US 5,548,260].

Claim 1, Okada et al. discloses an electromagnetic switch with a bobbin [10], a terminal [11] having a first end and a second end, the first end being attached to the bobbin [via 22] in an axial direction of the bobbin, an excitation coil [12] wound around the bobbin, an end of the excitation coil [12a] being pulled out the bobbin [24, 25] and connected to the terminal and a cover [13] mounted on the terminal, wherein terminal passes through the cover in the axial direction [figure2], wherein the bobbin has a first flange [10b] and a second flange [10c], and the first flange has a terminal holder [22] that protrudes from the first flange in the axial direction and defines a slot on an axial end surface to receive the first end of the terminal [figure 2] and the terminal holder provides longitudinal walls protruding in the axial direction on both sides of the slot [figure 2].

Okada et al. fails to teach the use of a plate-like terminal with its associated cover member.

Kogure et al. discloses electromagnetic switch for a starter [5] with a bobbin with plate-like terminals [25] enclosed by cover [21] that are electrically connected to an ignition switch (not shown) [col. 4 lines 15-20].

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the electromagnetic switch with plate-like

Art Unit: 2832

terminals in order to facilitate the switches connection to the commonly used spade lug of the type used in the ignition switch of Kogure et al.

Claim 4, Okada et al. discloses that the terminal holder defines a slit [24, 25] to hold the excitation coil pulled out of the bobbin.

Claim 6, Okada et al. discloses that the end of the excitation coil is welded to the terminal [col. 3 lines 40-45].

Claim 8, Okada et al. discloses that the cover defines a through hole [13a] to provide the terminal pass through.

Claim 12, Okada et al. discloses that the terminal holder is one of a pair of terminal holders that are located on the first flange and spaced apart from each other [figure 2].

Claim 13, Okada et al. discloses that the terminal holder provides a wall portion protruding in the axial direction along the terminal for restricting the terminal from moving in a thickness direction of the terminal [figure 2].

Claims 5, 7 and 9-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okada et al. in view of Hashimoto et al. [US 6,628,187].

Claim 5, Okada et al. in view of Hashimoto et al. discloses that the claimed invention except for terminal provides an arm portion to fasten the end of the excitation coil. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide an arm at the end of the terminal in order

Art Unit: 2832

to increase the surface area, and the number of times the excitation coil conductor

can be wound around the terminal.

Claim 7, Okada et al. in view of Hashimoto et al. discloses the claimed

Page 5

invention except for first end of the terminal having a serrated portion. It would have

been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was

made to provide a serrated portion at the end of the terminal that contact the bobbin

in order to facilitate its attachment to the bobbin by increasing the surface for the use

of adhesive to bond the terminal and the bobbin.

Claims 9 and 10, Okada et al. in view of Hashimoto et al. discloses that the

covers [13] functions as a resilient sealing cover members 13 of electrically

insulating material that are fitted on base end portions of the coil terminals. It would

have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention

was made to make a separate cover member and a seal instead of an integral

cover/seal member, since it has been held that constructing a formerly integral

structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the art. Nerwin v.

Erlichman, 168 USPQ 177, 179.

Claim 11, Okada et al. discloses that the sealing member has a projection

[13a] on an outer periphery.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 14-15 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2832

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see the amendment, filed 11/23/2004, with respect to the

Page 6

rejection(s)of claim(s) 1 under 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive.

Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a

new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Kogure et al. [US 5,548,260] which

discloses a switch with plate-like terminals.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Bernard Rojas whose telephone number is (571)

272-1998. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-4:00), every other

Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Elvin G. Enad can be reached on (571) 272-1990. The fax

phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned

is 703-872-9306.

SPE-AND-932 372/cs

Art Unit: 2832

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Bernard Ryss

SPE-AN2037 3/21/03